UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/649,793	08/28/2003	Gregory Cole	029211.52672US	5573
23911 7590 09/29/2010 CROWELL & MORING LLP INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP			EXAMINER	
			VILAKAZI, SIZO BINDA	
P.O. BOX 1430 WASHINGTO	N, DC 20044-4300		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3747	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/29/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	_
	10/649,793	COLE ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	_
	SIZO B. VILAKAZI	3747	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address	
Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by status Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON ute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. ply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ The 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal matte	•	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 92-98 is/are pending in the applicat 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 92-98 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) as Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the I	ccepted or b) objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyand ection is required if the drawing(ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Apiority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)	∧□	(DTO 440)	
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date formal Patent Application _·	

Art Unit: 3747

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 92-98 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Asai et al. (US Patent 6,525,430 B1) in view of Burson US Patent 3,821,570).
- 3. In re Claim 92, Asai et al disclose an engine generator, comprising a flywheel (76) configured as a mass of rotatable magnets (83) which comprise a unitary flywheel-alternator fan assembly for alternator power generation, also comprising:
 - a. An engine generator (13) that has a cooling fan (77) or blower configuration to create by itself_the necessary air flow rate and air pressure rise necessary to force cooling air over selected areas of the engine, wherein the cooling fan is selected from the group consisting of a centrifugal fan, an axial fan and a mixed-flow fan (Column 6, Lines 10-21), and
 - b. an engine cowling (78) opposingly surrounds the cooling fan to function as at least two of a fan shroud, a fan scroll, a distributor to cool the engine and alternator, an electronic cold plate and one or more coolant ducts (Column 6, Lines 10-21).

Art Unit: 3747

4. Asai et al. do not explicitly disclose the adjacent magnetic material sized to carry magnetic alternator flux.

- 5. However, Burson discloses an engine generator, comprising a flywheel (18) configured as a mass of rotatable magnets (22) and adjacent ferromagnetic material (Item 20 and Column 2, Lines 19-24) configured to and sized to carry magnetic alternator flux and operatively mount the magnets to provide magnetic flux distribution and comprise a unitary flywheel-alternator fan assembly for alternator power generation (Column 2, Lines 49-56), wherein an inner portion of the flywheel constitutes the only connection between the rotatable magnets and associated ferromagnetic material with the engine crankshaft
- 6. Therefore it would have been obvious to modify the engine generator disclosed by Asai et al. with the adjacent ferromagnetic material disclosed by Burson in order to prevent/reduce leakage flux of the main magnets.
- 7. In re Claim 93, Asai et al. disclose a generator of Claim 92, wherein the distributor function of the engine cowling (78) separates air flow to cool at least two of an engine head, cylinder wall of the engine, oil sump and electronics (Column 6, Lines 16-21).
- 8. In re Claim 94, Asia et al. disclose a generator of Claim 92, wherein a fan shroud for the cooling fan is operatively associated with the engine cooling to force air through the engine cowling.

Art Unit: 3747

9. In re Claim 95, Asai et al./Burson disclose a generator of Claim 92, wherein the cooling fan constitutes a mechanical link between the rotatable magnets and the adjacent ferromagnetic material and a mounting portion of the flywheel.

- 10. In re Claim 96, Asai et al./Burson discloses a generator of Claim 95, wherein a lightweight alloy in the cooling fan constitutes the mechanical link, and the ferromagnetic material and magnets of the alternator's rotor provide the inertia component.
- 11. In re Claim 97, Asai et al./Burton disclose a flywheel of Claim 92, wherein the alternator rotor, inertial material and fan or blower constitute a multi-piece construction of lightweight material, ferromagnetic material, and magnets.
- 12. In re Claim 98, Asai et al./Burton disclose a generator of Claim 97, wherein the lightweight alloy is one of magnesium or an aluminum alloy.

Response to Arguments

13. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 92-98 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

14. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 3747

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to SIZO B. VILAKAZI whose telephone number is (571)270-3926. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F: 10:00am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen K. Cronin can be reached on (571) 272-4536. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3747

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/SIZO B VILAKAZI/ Examiner, Art Unit 3747

/Stephen K. Cronin/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3747